

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,882	06/03/2004	Hayato Ariyoshi	SIMTEK6905	3881
25776 75	590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
ERNEST A. BEUTLER, ATTORNEY AT LAW			LE, DANG D	
	10 RUE MARSEILLE NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,882	ARIYOSHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dang D Le	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>_</b>					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2004 and 02 Al</u>		or b) objected to by the				
Examiner.	,	,				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified copies not receive	<b>0</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/27/04</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because it depends on claim 111. Appropriate correction is required. It is assumed that claim 12 depends on claim 11.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Best et al. (5,828,147) and Kawakami (6,011,339).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Best et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention in Figures 1, 7, and 14a-15b.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Kawakami also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention in Figures 5 and 11-13.

4. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okazaki et al. (6,600,244).

Regarding claim 1, Okazaki et al. shows a terminal structure (Figures 3-10) for interconnecting coil ends in a plural phase rotary electrical machine and adapted to be mounted at one axial end of a core (Figure 7) having a plurality of circumferentially spaced pole teeth around which electrical coils are wound, said terminal structure comprising a plurality of conductors (3, Figure 3) equal in number to at least the number of phases (3) and bonded in spaced relationship to each other, each of said conductors having terminal ends (7b, 8b, 9b) extending outwardly beyond the bonding material and having wire receiving recesses (7c, 8c, 9c) therein for receiving a coil wire end from a respective one of said coil windings (5), substantially all of said wire receiving recesses lying in a common axial plane (side 20e).

Regarding claims 2-4, 6, 7, and 10 it is noted that Okazaki et al. also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention including axially and radially opening recesses in Figures 5 and 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2834

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okazaki et al. in view of Lill et al. (4,287,446).

Regarding claim 5, Okazaki et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the configuration of stripping insulation from the coil end.

Lill et al. shows the configuration of stripping insulation from the coil end for the purpose of reducing the amount of labor time.

Since Okazaki et al. and Lill et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the configuration of stripping insulation from the coil end as taught by Lill et al. for the purpose discussed above.

8. Claims 8, 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okazaki et al. in view of Kawakami et al. (6,011,339).

Regarding claim 8, Okazaki et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the axially spacing of conductors.

Kawakami et al. shows the axially spacing of conductors (Figure 11) for the purpose of improving space occupation percentage.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,882 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Since Okazaki et al. and Kawakami et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the axially spacing of conductors as taught by Kawakami et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 9, 11, and 12, it is noted that Okazaki et al. and Kawakami et al. also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

#### Information on How to Contact USPTO

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,882 Page 6

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/6/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER